





22.

**Ethic**

C

23,

24.

I

25

26.

**I þe e þi c -de ig**

I

. I Camino Verde,

3

. B

**Bia e**

. A

. I H

( )

( Camino Verde )

. I

27 . I Camino Verde,

**Tial i le e þi**

I

28 ;

Camino Verde

Camino Verde

**A al i**

I

29 . Camino Verde

Verde

p-

30

(HA K ) 31 .

. A Camino Verde

Camino

32

**A big þ fi dicaþ**

A

Camino Verde

**Skill a di fa þ q e**

I

. I

. A

Camino Verde 33 . A



21. Makel MC, Plucker JA, Hegarty B. Replications in psychology research: how often do they really occur? *Perspect Psychol Sci.* 2012;7:537–42.
22. Hernandez-Alvarez C, Arosteguí J, Suazo-Laguna H, Reyes RM, Coloma J, Harris E, et al. Community cost-benefit discussion that launched the Camino Verde intervention in Nicaragua. *BMC Public Health.* 2017;17(Suppl 1). doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4292-x.
23. Barahona C. Randomised control trials for the impact evaluation of development initiatives: a statistician's point of view. ILAC working paper 13, 2010. [http://www.betterevaluation.org/sites/default/files/ILAC\\_WorkingPaper\\_No13\\_Randomised%20Control%20Trials.pdf](http://www.betterevaluation.org/sites/default/files/ILAC_WorkingPaper_No13_Randomised%20Control%20Trials.pdf). (Accessed 2 May 2017).
24. Ledogar RJ, Hernández-Alvarez C, Morrison AC, Arosteguí J, Morales-Pérez A, Nava-Aguilera E, et al. When communities are really in control: ethical issues surrounding community mobilisation for dengue prevention in Mexico and Nicaragua. *BMC Public Health.* 2017;17(Suppl 1). doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4305-9.
25. Ravallion M. Should the randomistas rule? *Economists Voice.* 2009;6:1–5. [http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTPOVRES/Resources/477227-1142020443961/2311843-1229023430572/Should\\_the\\_randomistas\\_rule.pdf](http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTPOVRES/Resources/477227-1142020443961/2311843-1229023430572/Should_the_randomistas_rule.pdf). (Accessed 2 May 2017).
26. Buchanan DR, Miller FG, Wallerstein N. Ethical issues in community-based participatory research: balancing rigorous research with community participation in community intervention studies. *Prog Community Health Partnersh.* 2007;1(2):153–60.
27. Fanelli D. “positive” results increase down the hierarchy of the sciences. *PLoS One.* 2010;5:e10068.
28. Simmons JP, Nelson LD, Simonsohn U. False-positive psychology: undisclosed flexibility in data collection and analysis allows presenting anything as significant *Psychol Sci* 2011;22:1359–1366.
29. Button KS. Power failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2013;14:365–76.
30. John LK, Loewenstein G, Prelec D. Measuring the prevalence of questionable research practices with incentives for truth telling *Psychol Sci* 2012;23:524–532.
31. Kerr NL. HARKing: hypothesizing after the results are known. *Personal Soc Psychol Rev.* 1998;2:196–217.
32. Morales-Pérez A, Nava-Aguilera E, Legorreta-Soberanis J, Cortés-Guzmán AJ, Balazar-Martínez A, Harris E et al. “Where we put little fish in the water there are no mosquitoes:” A cross-sectional study on biological control of the *Aedes aegypti* vector in 90 coastal communities of Guerrero, Mexico. *BMC Public Health.* 2017;17(Suppl 1). doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4302-z.
33. Morales-Pérez A, Nava-Aguilera E, Legorreta-Soberanis J, Paredes-Solis S, Balazar-Martínez A, Serrano-de los Santos FR, et al. Which Green Way: mobilising for *Aedes aegypti* control under difficult security conditions in southern Mexico. *BMC Public Health.* 2017;17(Suppl 1). doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4300-1.
34. Andersson N, Beauchamp M, Nava-Aguilera E, Paredes-Solis S, ajna M. The women made it work: fuzz/uitae.19TD(Na8l)-303.5(comm)-OSer-300.3999S, . 2017;17(Suppl 1). doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4300-1.
34. Andersson N, Beauchamp M, Nava-Aguilera E, Paredes-Snyesn.:21-0-304.2999878(E.)-299(Paredes-Solis2000122(EconomistW.)Tj)-296.5.